

D. CORDILLERA ADMINISTRATIVE REGION (CAR)

D.1. ABRA STATE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

BASELINE

2024 TARGETS

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed

25%

36.02%

15%

44.12%

Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs

90%

63%

2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation

86.36% (19/22)

89.65%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

RESEARCH PROGRAM**Outcome Indicator**

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries

3

8

Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year

30

51

2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international fora within the year

53.33% (16/30)

62%

Community engagement increased

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM**Outcome Indicator**

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGLs, SMEs and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities

14

29

Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training

1,370

2,128

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs

6

20

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

85%

97%